

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,314	07/03/2003	Lynn A. Buckner	4051	
7590 08/24/2004			EXAMINER	
LYNN A. BUCKNER P.O. Box 609			GILBERT, SAMUEL G	
Chickamauga, (	GA 30707		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004	<b>.</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/612,314	BUCKNER, LYNN A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Samuel G Gilbert	3736			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	ely filed will be considered timely. the malling date of this communication.			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.					
Disposition of Claims		,-,			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception acception acception acception acception acception acception to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction acceptance of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	pted or b) objected to by the Examing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). cted to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  ) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Di Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (P Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other:	·			
Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 3736

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

Claims 8 and 9 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claims 2-9 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims are not written in proper dependent form in that ,for example in claim 2, "Claim 1" should be written as "The magnetic means according to claim 1". Appropriate correction is required. The applicant's attention is invited to the claims of US Patent 5,950,239 as an example of proper dependent claim language.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

<sup>(</sup>e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 3736

Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lopez (5,950,239).

Applicant's attention is invited to at least the embodiments of Figures 16A-16C and the description set forth in column 9, line 55 through column 10 line 12.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Douglas(6,187,031). The examiner is taking strap -19- as a headband. When the device is used to treat a headache it would be worn in proximity of the head.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Woo (6,379,295).

Applicant's attention is invited to Figures 3, and 7-9 and their corresponding written description. Both permanent and electromagnets may be used, column 8 lines 42-48.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3736

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lopez(5,950,239) in view of Taylor et al (6,125,636).

Lopez teaches a magnetic therapy device as claimed but does not teach the addition of heating or cooling means. Taylor et al teaches the use of heating and cooling means incorporated into headgear. The device provides the benefit of cooling a user in warm climates or heating a user in cool climates, or to aid in recovery from illness or injury. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the heating and cooling apparatus of Taylor et al with the magnetic therapeutic headwear of Lopez to provide the additional therapeutic effects of heating or cooling as taught by Taylor et al column 1 lines 11-19. Combining heating or cooling therapy with magnetic therapy may allow for faster healing of injuries or illness as taught by Taylor et al and would at least benefit the patient by aiding in the comfort of the patient during the use of the device.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patents 5,425,620 and 6267,720 teach related therapeutic headgear.

An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in

Art Unit: 3736

this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

Applicant is advised of the availability of the publication "Attorneys and Agents Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office." This publication is for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel G Gilbert whose telephone number is 703-308-3553. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 703-308-3130. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Samuel G Gilbert Primary Examiner Art Unit 3736